IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF NORTH CAROLINA CHARLOTTE DIVISION 3:06-CR-00087-RJC-DCK

USA)	
v.)	ORDER
DANNY BECKHAM (2))	
)	

THIS MATTER is before the Court upon motion of counsel for the defendant, (Doc. No. 256), to reconsider the Court's denial, (Doc. No. 254: Order), of the defendant's pro se motion for a sentence reduction under the First Step Act, (Doc. No. 248).

Counsel subsequently filed a notice of appeal challenging the same decision as the motion to reconsider. (Doc. No. 257 at 1). Accordingly, the Court does not have jurisdiction to entertain the motion to reconsider. See Griggs v. Provident

Consumer Disc. Co., 459 U.S. 56, 58, (1982) ("The filing of a notice of appeal is an event of jurisdictional significance—it confers jurisdiction on the court of appeals and divests the district court of its control over those aspects of the case involved in the appeal."); Fed. R. App. P. 4(b)(5) (district court retains jurisdiction to correct a sentence under Fed. R. Crim. P. 35(a) in criminal cases).

IT IS, THEREFORE, ORDERED that the motion to reconsider, (Doc. No. 256), is **DENIED** without prejudice.

The Clerk is directed to certify copies of this Order to the defendant, the Federal Public Defender, and the United States Attorney.

Signed: August 6, 2019

Robert J. Conrad, Jr.

United States District Judge